

LRPP Exhibit II - Performance Measures and Standards

Department: STATE COURTS SYSTEM	Department No.: 22
Program: District Courts of Appeal	Code: 22100000
Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts	Code: 22100600

NOTE: Approved primary service outcomes must be listed first.

Approved Performance Measures for FY 2008-09 (Words)	Approved Prior Year Standard FY 2007-08 (Numbers)	Prior Year Actual FY 2007-08 (Numbers)	Approved Standards for FY 2008-09 (Numbers)	Requested FY 2009-10 Standard (Numbers)
Clearance rate (all case types)	100.0%	98.5%	97.4%	Indeterminate
Number of cases disposed (all case types)	24,549	25,151	24,745	Indeterminate
Median number of days from filing of criminal appeals to disposition	190	214	200	Indeterminate
Median number of days from filing of criminal petitions to disposition	53	51	53	Indeterminate
Clearance rate for criminal appeals and petitions	100.0%	97.2%	95.7%	Indeterminate
Percent of criminal appeals and petitions cases disposed within 180 days of oral argument or conference	97.9%	97.5%	98.2%	Indeterminate
Median number of days from filing of non-criminal appeals to disposition	246	235	225	Indeterminate
Median number of days from filing of non-criminal petitions to disposition	70	85	86	Indeterminate
Clearance rate for non-criminal appeals and petitions	100.0%	100.8%	100.3%	Indeterminate
Percent of non-criminal appeals and petitions cases disposed within 180 days of oral argument or conference	95.0%	95.2%	93.9%	Indeterminate
Number of records maintained	40,507	41,007	40,401	Indeterminate
Number of employees administered	445	439	447	Indeterminate
Square footage secured	728,094	755,212	755,212	755,212
Square footage maintained	728,094	755,212	755,212	755,212

Notes:

1. Statistics may fluctuate significantly from year to year due to many factors. The severity of the fluctuations is greater in the case types with low volume.
2. The "Clearance Rate" is a calculation of the number of cases disposed by the number of cases filed in the same year. The clearance rate has a reasonable ease of calculation, is a useful measure of the responsiveness of a court to the demand for services, and is nationally recognized as a measure of court performance.
3. Columns labeled as "Approved Standards" provide the final legislatively approved figures for the budget year identified.
4. The "Requested FY 2009-10" column corresponds to the official Judicial Branch Legislative Budget Request for FY 2009-10 and does not represent a goal for the court. It is simply an estimate of the amount of activity expected to occur during FY 2009-10. However, due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the majority of Approved Performance Measures cannot be predicted at this time.