

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability

Department: State Courts System
Program: District Courts of Appeal
Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts
Measure: Clearance rate (all case types)

Action (check one):

- Requesting revision to approved performance measure.
- Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.
- Requesting new measure.
- Backup for performance measure.

Data Sources and Methodology:

Data sources and the methodology for calculating the Prior Year Actual figure have not changed. However, the methodology for the Requested Year figure should be described as follows: Due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the Performance and Accountability Commission determined that the measure cannot be predicted at this time.

Validity:

No Change

Reliability:

No Change

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2008

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability

Department: State Courts System

Program: District Courts of Appeal

Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts

Measure: Number of cases disposed (all case types)

Action (check one):

- Requesting revision to approved performance measure.
- Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.
- Requesting new measure.
- Backup for performance measure.

Data Sources and Methodology:

Data sources and the methodology for calculating the Prior Year Actual figure have not changed. However, the methodology for the Requested Year figure should be described as follows: Due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the Performance and Accountability Commission determined that the measure cannot be predicted at this time.

Validity:

No Change

Reliability:

No Change

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2008

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability

Department: State Courts System

Program: District Courts of Appeal

Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts

Measure: Median number of days from filing of criminal appeals to disposition

Action (check one):

- Requesting revision to approved performance measure.
- Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.
- Requesting new measure.
- Backup for performance measure.

Data Sources and Methodology:

Data sources and the methodology for calculating the Prior Year Actual figure have not changed. However, the methodology for the Requested Year figure should be described as follows: Due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the Performance and Accountability Commission determined that the measure cannot be predicted at this time.

Validity:

No Change

Reliability:

No Change

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2008

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability

Department: State Courts System

Program: District Courts of Appeal

Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts

Measure: Median number of days from filing of criminal petitions to disposition

Action (check one):

- Requesting revision to approved performance measure.
- Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.
- Requesting new measure.
- Backup for performance measure.

Data Sources and Methodology:

Data sources and the methodology for calculating the Prior Year Actual figure have not changed. However, the methodology for the Requested Year figure should be described as follows: Due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the Performance and Accountability Commission determined that the measure cannot be predicted at this time.

Validity:

No Change

Reliability:

No Change

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2008

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability

Department: State Courts System

Program: District Courts of Appeal

Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts

Measure: Clearance rate for criminal appeals and petitions

Action (check one):

- Requesting revision to approved performance measure.
- Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.
- Requesting new measure.
- Backup for performance measure.

Data Sources and Methodology:

Data sources and the methodology for calculating the Prior Year Actual figure have not changed. However, the methodology for the Requested Year figure should be described as follows: Due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the Performance and Accountability Commission determined that the measure cannot be predicted at this time.

Validity:

No Change

Reliability:

No Change

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2008

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability

Department: State Courts System

Program: District Courts of Appeal

Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts

Measure: Percent of criminal appeals and petitions cases disposed within 180 days of oral argument or conference

Action (check one):

- Requesting revision to approved performance measure.
- Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.
- Requesting new measure.
- Backup for performance measure.

Data Sources and Methodology:

Data sources and the methodology for calculating the Prior Year Actual figure have not changed. However, the methodology for the Requested Year figure should be described as follows: Due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the Performance and Accountability Commission determined that the measure cannot be predicted at this time.

Validity:

No Change

Reliability:

No Change

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2008

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability

Department: State Courts System

Program: District Courts of Appeal

Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts

Measure: Median number of days from filing of non-criminal appeals to disposition

Action (check one):

- Requesting revision to approved performance measure.
- Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.
- Requesting new measure.
- Backup for performance measure.

Data Sources and Methodology:

Data sources and the methodology for calculating the Prior Year Actual figure have not changed. However, the methodology for the Requested Year figure should be described as follows: Due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the Performance and Accountability Commission determined that the measure cannot be predicted at this time.

Validity:

No Change

Reliability:

No Change

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2008

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability

Department: State Courts System

Program: District Courts of Appeal

Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts

Measure: Median number of days from filing of non-criminal petitions to disposition

Action (check one):

- Requesting revision to approved performance measure.
- Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.
- Requesting new measure.
- Backup for performance measure.

Data Sources and Methodology:

Data sources and the methodology for calculating the Prior Year Actual figure have not changed. However, the methodology for the Requested Year figure should be described as follows: Due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the Performance and Accountability Commission determined that the measure cannot be predicted at this time.

Validity:

No Change

Reliability:

No Change

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2008

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability

Department: State Courts System

Program: District Courts of Appeal

Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts

Measure: Clearance rate for non-criminal appeals and petitions

Action (check one):

- Requesting revision to approved performance measure.
- Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.
- Requesting new measure.
- Backup for performance measure.

Data Sources and Methodology:

Data sources and the methodology for calculating the Prior Year Actual figure have not changed. However, the methodology for the Requested Year figure should be described as follows: Due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the Performance and Accountability Commission determined that the measure cannot be predicted at this time.

Validity:

No Change

Reliability:

No Change

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2008

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability

Department: State Courts System

Program: District Courts of Appeal

Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts

Measure: Percent of non-criminal appeals and petitions cases disposed within 180 days of oral argument or conference

Action (check one):

- Requesting revision to approved performance measure.
- Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.
- Requesting new measure.
- Backup for performance measure.

Data Sources and Methodology:

Data sources and the methodology for calculating the Prior Year Actual figure have not changed. However, the methodology for the Requested Year figure should be described as follows: Due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the Performance and Accountability Commission determined that the measure cannot be predicted at this time.

Validity:

No Change

Reliability:

No Change

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2008

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability

Department: State Courts System
Program: District Courts of Appeal
Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts
Measure: Number of records maintained

Action (check one):

- Requesting revision to approved performance measure.
- Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.
- Requesting new measure.
- Backup for performance measure.

Data Sources and Methodology:

Data sources and the methodology for calculating the Prior Year Actual figure have not changed. However, the methodology for the Requested Year figure should be described as follows: Due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the Performance and Accountability Commission determined that the measure cannot be predicted at this time.

Validity:

No Change

Reliability:

No Change

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2008

LRPP EXHIBIT IV: Performance Measure Validity and Reliability

Department: State Courts System
Program: District Courts of Appeal
Service/Budget Entity: Appellate Courts
Measure: Number of employees administered

Action (check one):

- Requesting revision to approved performance measure.
- Change in data sources or measurement methodologies.
- Requesting new measure.
- Backup for performance measure.

Data Sources and Methodology:

Data sources and the methodology for calculating the Prior Year Actual figure have not changed. However, the methodology for the Requested Year figure should be described as follows: Due to the unknown impact of FY 2007-08 and FY 2008-09 budget cuts, the Performance and Accountability Commission determined that the measure cannot be predicted at this time.

Validity:

No Change

Reliability:

No Change

Office of Policy and Budget – July 2008