

FLORIDA STATE COURTS SYSTEM
SEVENTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLORIDA

COURT ADMINISTRATION

**REPLACEMENT OF THE CONTROL SYSTEMS AND VIDEO CONFERENCE
INTERFACES IN THE NORTH BUILDING CRIMINAL COURTROOMS OF THE
BROWARD COUNTY MAIN JUDICIAL COMPLEX**

INVITATION TO BID (ITB)

**ITB # 18-002-SOUND REINFORCEMENT SYSTEMS AND CONTROLS – NORTH
BUILDING CRIMINAL COURTROOMS OF THE
BROWARD COUNTY MAIN JUDICIAL COMPLEX**

ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Questions Received: April 25, 2018

Answers Posted: April 30, 2018

QUESTION 1: Page 5, Section 6. Minimum Qualifications, there is no requirement to add any additional Biamp equipment. The requirement is to interface existing Biamp with new Crestron control systems. Why is there a mandatory requirement to be an authorized Biamp reseller if the SOW calls for interfacing existing equipment not purchasing new? This requirement appears to be unreasonably restrictive for no apparent purpose. Will the County waive this requirement?

The Court will remove the requirement that the Vendor be an authorized Biamp reseller. However, the successful bidder must still be certified to supply, install and configure Biamp Audia equipment, and must provide a copy of their current Biamp certification with their bid.

QUESTION 2: Page 5, similar to above, there is no QSC specified, please explain the requirement to be certified in a product line that is not part of this procurement.

QSC certification is required to ensure compatibility with existing infrastructure as QSC interfacing is required with the current VoIP in the courtrooms. See top of page 4 of the ITB.

QUESTION 3: Please explain the requirement that the bidder be certified in the installation and configuring of extreme network switches. What is the Court doing with these switches that requires “extreme” in replacing AMX courtroom AV control systems with Crestron? What network switches are currently being used?

This requirement is to ensure proper installation and configuration of extreme network switches by a vendor certified to install and configure such equipment. The manner in which the Court will utilize extreme network switches is outside the scope of work contemplated by this ITB. Currently, Cisco switches are being utilized for control systems; the new controls systems that are part of this ITB will utilize the standardized AV switches specified for AV system and integrated into the existing network.

QUESTION 4: Page 5, “Estimated Equipment List.” Since this appears to be incomplete, will the County accept functionally equivalent or better substitutions for the hardware specified and not included, if the result is a cost savings to the County and an easier system to maintain and install?

The equipment list is complete. The term “Estimated” is utilized because, as provided for in the ITB, any additional items that are required to complete the installation that are not specifically listed in the bid are the responsibility of the vendor. The manufacturers listed are required to ensure compatibility with existing infrastructure.

QUESTION 5: Page 3, SOW requests a cost per “Court Location.” This ITB has only one location how does the County want this pricing?

Pricing should be specified for the North Building Criminal Courtrooms of the Broward County Main Judicial Complex.

QUESTION 6: Page 5, Estimated Equipment List, please define “Attic Stock” and how is that to be used? If this is for spares, is the Court going to do the replacing of these units if needed?

“Attic stock” consists of parts to be placed in inventory, for use at the Court’s discretion. The use and maintenance of “attic stock” is not a responsibility of the vendor.